

ASHBY & GEDDES

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS AT LAW
500 DELAWARE AVENUE
P. O. BOX 1150
WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19899

TELEPHONE
302-654-1888
FACSIMILE
302-654-2067

November 26, 2008

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING AND HAND DELIVERY

Special Master Vincent J. Poppiti
Blank Rome LLP
1201 Market Street
Suite 800
Wilmington, DE 19801

Re: In re Intel Corporation, C.A. Nos. 05-md-1717, 05-441 and 05-485

Dear Special Master Poppiti:

On behalf of our non-party client, Kevin B. Rollins, we submit this letter as a replacement for the letter submitted to this Court on November 21, 2008. Mr. Rollins joins in the November 26, 2008 letter brief submitted by counsel for Dell Inc. and current Dell employees with respect to the statement that a number of courts have found that a judge presiding over an MDL matter may exercise jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 as if it were a district judge in the issuing court and that under such decisions the MDL court and the issuing court have been found to have concurrent jurisdiction over subpoenas issued by the issuing court.

We note that two different courts have issued subpoenas with respect to Mr. Rollins. Class Plaintiffs issued a subpoena out of the Western District of Texas and AMD issued a subpoena out of the District of Massachusetts, where Mr. Rollins resides and where the proposed deposition would occur. The subpoena issued out of the Western District of Texas is invalid as to Mr. Rollins. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 (a) (2). Whereas this Court shares concurrent jurisdiction with the Western District of Texas with respect to the subpoenas issued to Dell's current employees, Mr. Rollins is situated differently from those individuals. Mr. Rollins' deposition would take place in Massachusetts (where he is a resident) and, as a result, this Court shares concurrent jurisdiction with the District of Massachusetts with respect to the subpoena issued out of that district. As of the time of this filing Mr. Rollins has not yet moved to quash either of the two subpoenas, but reserves the right to do so.

In submitting this letter through counsel, Mr. Rollins is not admitting that he is subject to the jurisdiction of this Court with respect to any issues that may arise.

Respectfully,

/s/ Lauren E. Maguire

Lauren E. Maguire

Special Master Vincent J. Poppiti

November 26, 2008

Page 2

LEM: nml

cc: Frederick L. Cottrell, III, Esquire (by hand)
Richard L. Horwitz, Esquire (by hand)
James L. Holzman, Esquire (by hand)